

From:

HarborComments < HarborComments@epa.gov>

Sent:

Friday, July 15, 2016 5:55 PM

To:

PortlandHarbor

Subject:

FW: Clean Up Portland Harbor

Attachments:

344329609351901457.pdf

Laura Knudsen

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | Superfund | Environmental Protection Specialist Tel 503-326-3280 | knudsen.laura@epa.gov (b) (6)

<u>Please Note:</u> I am currently on detail to the EPA Region 10 office in Portland, Oregon until July 23, 2016. Thank you!



(b) (6)

Sent: Thursday, July 14, 2016 11:20 AM

To: HarborComments

Subject: Re: Clean Up Portland Harbor

Clean Up Portland Harbor

Letter

Dr. Ms. McCarthy, The proposed cleanup of the Portland Harbor is a big win for industry and a bad deal for the public. EPA's cleanup proposal tackles just 8% of a site area that is 100% toxic. A more aggressive plan is needed to prevent even more harm to human health and the environment. On behalf of all people who rely on the river for food, recreation, employment and culture, I urge the EPA to implement a plan that: Moves quickly and sustainably reduces contaminants causing harm to Willamette and

[&]quot;Nothing great was ever achieved without enthusiasm" (Ralph Waldo Emerson)

Columbia River resources. Includes ongoing monitoring and cleanup upriver and downriver from the site. Contributes to healthy fish that are safe to eat for all people. Holds polluters accountable for creating a safer Portland Harbor. These elements get us closer to the plan our communities deserve. And I deserve a clean, safe Portland Harbor. *Submitted during the comment period between June 9, 2016 to August 8, 2016 regarding the EPA's Portland Harbor Feasibility Study and Proposed Plan.

First Name

Last Name

(b) (6)

(b) (6)

E-mail

(b) (6)

Message (500 Character Limit)

The fact that te EPA thinks that cleaning up only 8% of the toxic mess in the river id insulting to the wildlife that surrounds the river and the people who live within the impacted communities. It shows exactly how CORRUPT and careless our agenies are. Anything less than 100% clean-up is unacceptable.